

Expéditeur : le BUREAU INTERNATIONAL


PCT

NOTIFICATION DE TRANSMISSION DE COPIES DE
LA TRADUCTION DU RAPPORT D'EXAMEN
PRELIMINAIRE INTERNATIONAL

(règle 72.2 du PCT)

Destinataire :

LENOIR, Sophie
Brevatome
3, rue du Docteur Lavoisier
F-75008 Paris
FRANCE



Date d'expédition (jour/mois/année) 19 mai 2005 (19.05.2005)	
Référence du dossier du déposant ou du mandataire B14144.3SL	NOTIFICATION IMPORTANTE
Demande internationale n° PCT/FR2003/050037	Date du dépôt international (jour/mois/année) 25 août 2003 (25.08.2003)
Déposant COMMISSARIAT A L'ENERGIE ATOMIQUE etc	

1. Transmission de la traduction au déposant.

Le Bureau international transmet ci-joint copie de la traduction en langue anglaise qu'il a faite du rapport d'examen préliminaire international établi par l'administration chargée de l'examen préliminaire international.

2. Transmission d'une copie de la traduction aux offices élus.

Le Bureau international notifie au déposant qu'une copie de cette traduction a été transmise aux offices élus suivants qui exigent la traduction en question:

AZ, CH, CN, CO, GH, KG, KP, KR, MK, MZ, RO, RU, TM

Les offices élus suivants ont renoncé à l'exigence selon laquelle la transmission doit être effectuée à cette date; ils recevront une copie de cette traduction du Bureau international seulement à leur demande:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MN, MW, MX, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PH, PL, PT, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Rappel concernant la traduction dans la ou l'une des langues officielles de l'office ou des offices élus.

Il est rappelé au déposant que, lorsqu'une traduction de la demande internationale doit être remise à un office élu, cette traduction doit comporter la traduction de toute annexe du rapport d'examen préliminaire international.

Il appartient au déposant d'établir la traduction en question et de la remettre directement à chaque office élu intéressé (règle 74.1). Voir le volume II du Guide du déposant du PCT pour de plus amples renseignements.

Bureau international de l'OMPI
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Genève 20, Suisse

n° de télécopieur+41 22 740 14 35

Fonctionnaire autorisé

Beate Giffo-Schmitt

n° de télécopieur+41 22 338 87 20

Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT/FR2003/050037



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference B14144.3SL	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/FR2003/050037	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 25 août 2003 (25.08.2003)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 26 août 2002 (26.08.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C08J 5/12, C09D 5/44, B05D 3/14		
Applicant COMMISSARIAT A L'ENERGIE ATOMIQUE		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>4</u> sheets, including this cover sheet. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of <u>4</u> sheets.
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 17 mars 2004 (17.03.2004)	Date of completion of this report 10 December 2004 (10.12.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

- ☐ the international application as originally filed
- ☒ the description:
pages _____ 1-35 _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____
- ☒ the claims:
pages _____, as originally filed
pages _____, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____ 1-16 _____, filed with the letter of _____ 29 October 2004 (29.10.2004)
- ☒ the drawings:
pages _____ 1/4-4/4 _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:
pages _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages _____
- ☐ the claims, Nos. _____
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/fig _____

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

/FR 03/50037

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-16	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-16	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-16	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

1. Prior art

D1: LANGMUIR, ACS, vol. 18, published on the WEB, 3 July 2002, pages 2785-2788;

D2: US 5 284 543 A.

D1 discloses the electrografting of an aliphatic polyester film onto a metal surface. Said electrografting is carried out using an acrylic monomer. A coating that is applied using the spin-coating technique in conjunction with a PVC solution is shown to adhere strongly to the graft polyester (the abstract; page 2788, left-hand column). Said coating was applied *in situ* and does not correspond to the definition of a surface of an object, as claimed in the present application.

D1 describes (the first claim) the grafting of certain monomers, ~~using a low-pressure plasma~~, in order to apply a polymerised film onto a substrate, for example, a metal substrate. A polymer composition is then applied by means of pressure welding at increased temperature (claim 1).

However, the use of a low-pressure plasma cannot be considered to be equivalent to electrografting.

2. PCT Article 33(1) and (2) - Novelty

As a result of these differences, claims 1 to 16 are novel.

3. PCT Article 33(1) and (3) - Inventive step

If D2 is considered to be the closest prior art, it is unlikely that a person skilled in the art would envisage consulting a document such as D1, which discloses technical content that relates more to the field of coating than to that of welding.

The conclusion is that the present application involves an inventive step and that all of the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) are met.